

**REMARKS**

Claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. Claims 1-6, 8-9 and 11-12 were previously cancelled.

In the Final Office Action of May 12, 2011, claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 were only rejected in view of two informalities. Otherwise the claims were deemed allowable.

An amendment was filed on or about August 12, 2011, however, that response inadvertently used as its basis claims not from the amendment filed on or about April 11, 2011. As a result, the Office issued an Advisory Action and a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

With this amendment, claims 7 and 17 are amended using the April 11, 2011 claims set.

**I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims**

Claims 7, 10, 13 and 17 were as indefinite.

Regarding the rejection directed to the “density” of the negative electrode material, Claims 7 and 17 have been amended to correctly recite that it is the “active material” that has the requisite density, as suggested in the Office Action. Accordingly this rejection has been overcome.

Regarding the rejection directed to how the “outer end of the wound electrode” is welded, the claims have been amended to read:

...

sealing the wound electrode in a film pack formed by laminating a nylon layer, an aluminum layer and a polypropylene layer on the wound electrode and welding an outer end of the ~~wound electrode~~ film pack

...

As such, the requested changes have been made in a manner appropriate to the claims.

## **II. Conclusion**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 29, 2011

By: /David R. Metzger/  
David R. Metzger  
Registration No. 32,919  
SNR Denton, US LLP  
P.O. Box 061080  
Wacker Drive Station, Willis Tower  
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080  
(312) 876-8000